June 29, 2015
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90 State Circle
Annapolis, MD 21401
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Attn: Mike Richard, Deputy Chief of Staff
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Annapolis, MD 21401
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State House
Annapolis, MD 21401
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Dear Sir or Madam:

I am filing the required number of copies of the attached Evaluation Report on behalf of the Maryland Insurance Administration as follows:

- AELR Committee-one copy
- Governor’s Office (Mike Richard)-one copy
- Division of State Documents-one copy
• State Library Resource Center via State Publications Depository and Distribution Program (Brigid Sye-Jones)-sixteen copies
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If you have any questions, or require additional information, please contact me at the above-referenced telephone number and email address.

Sincerely,

[Signature on original]

Catherine Grason
Director of Regulatory Affairs, Office of the Commissioner
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.05.02

Chapter Name: Certificate of Valuation—Life Insurers

Authority: Insurance Article, §§2-109 and 4-116, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: February 20, 1989

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to set forth procedures for the annual filing of a certificate of valuation by life insurance companies.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X Yes □ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X Yes □ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? □ Yes X No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X Yes □ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)-(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the *Maryland Register* and on the Secretary of State's Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
(a) any notice published in the *Maryland Register*;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit’s website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the *Maryland Register*, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

No relevant information impacting COMAR 31.05.02 was found.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.
C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  [ ] Yes  [x] No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  [x] Yes  [ ] No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to certificates of valuation for life insurance companies being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. There has been no recent legislation* pertaining to certificates of valuation for life insurance companies requiring amendments to COMAR 31.05.02.

*Legislation amending Title 5, Subtitles 2 and 3 of the Insurance Article, was passed during the 2015 Legislative Session (See SENATE BILL 573 (Chapter 367) – Insurance-Standard Valuation Law and Reserve and Nonforfeiture Requirements). These changes require insurance companies, on or after the operative date of a valuation manual adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”), to value their reserves for life insurance policies, accident and health insurance contracts, and deposit-type contracts using principle-based reserving (“PBR”) that is established by the valuation manual. The operative date of these changes is contingent on passage of similar legislation in other states, and is unknown at this time. Regulatory changes may be required in the future.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) -- (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

[ ] no action
[x] amendment
[ ] repeal
[ ] repeal and adopt new regulations
[ ] reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. These regulations continue to be relevant and necessary for the proper reporting of financial information to the Commissioner. No changes are recommended at this time.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.

Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.05.04

Chapter Name: Valuation Standards for Individual Annuity and Pure Endowment Contracts

Authority: Insurance Article, §2-109 and Title 5, Subtitle 3, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: January 1, 2015

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to approve the use of certain mortality tables adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners after 1980 as a basis for determining the minimum standards of valuation for individual annuity and pure endowment contracts.

Note that amendments to this chapter were proposed shortly after this work plan was filed; however, since the amendments did not become effective before 7/1/14 (the date the work plan was due), this Chapter was not exempt from Regulatory Review.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? □ Yes □ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? □ Yes □ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? □ Yes □ No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? □ Yes □ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the *Maryland Register* and on the Secretary of State's Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
(a) any notice published in the *Maryland Register*;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the *Maryland Register*, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Model 821 entitled “NAIC Model Rule for Recognizing a New Annuity Mortality Table for Use in Determining Reserve Liabilities for Annuities” was reviewed in 2014. COMAR 31.05.04 was updated effective 1/1/15 to establish that the Society of Actuaries Committee on Life Insurance Research’s 2012 Individual Annuity Reserving Table shall be used for determining the minimum standard of valuation for individual annuity and pure endowment contracts issued on or after January 1, 2015.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.
C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? □ Yes □ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? □ Yes □ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to mortality tables adopted by the NAIC as a basis for determining the minimum standards of valuation for individual annuity and pure endowment contracts being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. There has been no recent legislation pertaining to mortality tables adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners after 1980 as a basis for determining the minimum standards of valuation for individual annuity and pure endowment contracts requiring amendments to COMAR 31.05.04.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) -- (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

☐ no action

☐ amendment

☐ repeal

☐ repeal and adopt new regulations

☐ reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. These regulations continue to be relevant and necessary, and consistent with national standards set forth by the NAIC. No changes are recommended at this time.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.

Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.05.05

Chapter Name: Valuation Standards for Group Annuity and Pure Endowment Contracts

Authority: Insurance Article, §2-109 and Title 5, Subtitle 3, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: May 24, 2004

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to approve the use of new mortality tables adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners after 1980 as a basis for determining the minimum standards of valuation of annuities and pure endowments purchased under group annuity and pure endowment contracts.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? [x] Yes [ ] No
(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? [x] Yes [ ] No
(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? [ ] Yes [x] No
(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? [x] Yes [ ] No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the *Maryland Register* and on the Secretary of State's Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
(a) any notice published in the *Maryland Register*;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the *Maryland Register*, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Model 821 entitled “NAIC Model Rule for Recognizing a New Annuity Mortality Table for Use in Determining Reserve Liabilities for Annuities” was reviewed. COMAR 31.05.05 is consistent with the NAIC Model.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.
C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  

☐ Yes  ❌ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  

❌ Yes  ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to new mortality tables adopted by the NAIC after 1980 as a basis for determining the minimum standards of valuation of annuities and pure endowments purchased under group annuity and pure endowment contracts being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. There has been no recent legislation pertaining to new mortality tables adopted by the NAIC after 1980 as a basis for determining the minimum standards of valuation of annuities and pure endowments purchased under group annuity and pure endowment contracts requiring amendments to COMAR 31.05.05.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

☐ no action

X amendment

repeal

repeal and adopt new regulations

reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. These regulations continue to be relevant and necessary, and are consistent with national standards set forth by the NAIC. No changes are recommended at this time.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.

Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.05.06

Chapter Name: Investments of Life Insurers and Property and Casualty Insurers in High Yield/High Risk Obligations

Authority: Insurance Article, §§2-109, 4-103(c), 5-502, 5-506, 5-507, 5-511, 5-605, 5-606, and 5-608, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: September 26, 1994

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to protect the interests of policy holders of life insurers and property and casualty insurers admitted to do business in Maryland by limiting the amount of high yield/high risk obligations in which an insurer may invest, so that the assets of the insurer will be reasonable in relation to the insurer's liabilities and adequate to its financial needs, permitting policyholders to rely with confidence on the insurer's ability to fulfill its contractual obligations.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? □ Yes □ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? □ Yes □ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? □ Yes □ No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? □ Yes □ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the Maryland Register and on the Secretary of State's Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
(a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit’s website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the Maryland Register, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Model 340 entitled “Investments in Medium and Lower Grade Obligations” was reviewed. COMAR 31.05.06 is consistent with the NAIC Model and includes provisions not in the NAIC Model under 31.05.06.04.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.
C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  

Yes [ ]  No [x]  

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  

Yes [x]  No [ ]  

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to the amount of high yield/high risk obligations in which an insurer may invest being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. There has been no recent legislation pertaining to the amount of high yield/high risk obligations in which an insurer may invest requiring amendments to COMAR 31.05.06.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

No action [ ]

Amendment [x]

Repeal [ ]

Repeal and adopt new regulations [ ]

Reorganization [ ]

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. These regulations continue to be relevant and necessary to protect policyholders by limiting insurers’ investment in high risk obligations, and are consistent with national standards set forth by the NAIC in Model 340.

The MIA recommends the following technical changes to this chapter:

• .01: The purpose paragraph in COMAR 31.05.06.01 should be revised to simplify the language and ensure clarity.

• .02: COMAR 31.05.06.02 B(5) cites the definition of “person” in Insurance Article §1-101(bb). This cite should be changed to Insurance Article §1-101(dd).

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.  

Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.05.07

Chapter Name: Life and Health Reinsurance Agreements

Authority: Insurance Article, §§1-101(jj), 2-109, 3-124, 3-125, 4-113, 4-114, 4-116, 5-103, 5-201(b)(2), 5-203, 5-901, 5-903—5-905, 14-102, 14-108—14-111, 14-124(b), and 14-126, and Title 5, Subtitle 3, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: December 17, 2007

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to establish the circumstances in which life and health reinsurance arrangements are not proper and to set forth general regulatory requirements for life and health reinsurance agreements.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☑ No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☑ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration's (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the *Maryland Register* and on the Secretary of State's Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:

(a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit’s website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the *Maryland Register*, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Model 791 entitled “Life and Health Reinsurance Agreements” was reviewed. COMAR 31.05.07 is consistent with the NAIC Model.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.
C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? 

- Yes  
- No  

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? 

- Yes  
- No  

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to life and health reinsurance arrangements being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. There has been no recent legislation pertaining to life and health reinsurance arrangements requiring amendments to COMAR 31.05.07.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xii), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

- X no action
- amendment
- repeal
- repeal and adopt new regulations
- reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. These regulations continue to be relevant and necessary to set forth general regulatory requirements for life and health reinsurance agreements and are consistent with national standards set forth by NAIC model 791. No changes are recommended at this time.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.

Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.05.08

Chapter Name: Credit for Reinsurance

Authority: Insurance Article, §§1-101(jj), 2-109, 2-205, 2-209, and 5-901—5-916, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: August 18, 2014

Note that amendments to this chapter were proposed shortly before this work plan was filed; however, since the amendments did not become effective before 7/1/14 (the date the work plan was due), this Chapter was not exempt from Regulatory Review.

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to establish the circumstances in which the Commissioner must allow a domestic authorized insurer credit as an asset or deduction from liability for reinsurance that the insurer obtains.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20B)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the *Maryland Register* and on the Secretary of State’s Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:

(a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit’s website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the *Maryland Register*, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Model 786 entitled “Credit for Reinsurance Model Regulation” was reviewed as part of the 2014 amendments to this chapter. COMAR 31.05.08 is substantially similar to the NAIC Model, which will be an accreditation standard in the future.
(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20B(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  

☐ Yes  ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  

☒ Yes  ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to credit for reinsurance being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. There has been no recent legislation pertaining to credit for reinsurance requiring amendments to COMAR 31.05.08.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)  

☒ no action

☐ amendment

☐ repeal

☐ repeal and adopt new regulations

☐ reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. These regulations continue to be relevant and necessary, and are consistent with national standards set forth by NAIC model 786.

This chapter was comprehensively reviewed and amended in 2014. No further changes are recommended at this time.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.

Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.05.09

Chapter Name: Mortgage Loans

Authority: Insurance Article, §§2-109 and 5-511(g), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: November 6, 1995

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to set forth specific information relating to a company’s mortgage that must be kept ready for convenient inspection by examiners from the Maryland Insurance Administration.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? □ Yes □ No □ X

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? □ Yes □ No □ X

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? □ Yes □ No □ X

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? □ Yes □ No □ X

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the Maryland Register and on the Secretary of State’s Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.
(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
(a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the Maryland Register, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

No relevant information impacting, COMAR 31.05.09 was found

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  

   Yes  
   No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  

   Yes  
   No
Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to insurer mortgage loans being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. There has been no recent legislation pertaining to insurer mortgage loans requiring amendments to COMAR 31.05.09.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (x), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

- [x] no action
- amendment
- repeal
- repeal and adopt new regulations
- reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. These regulations continue to be relevant and necessary to require the proper documentation of mortgage loans held by insurers. No changes are recommended at this time.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.
Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.05.10

Chapter Name: Financial Guaranty Insurance

Authority: Insurance Article, §5-1005, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: March 9, 2006

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to set forth the regulatory requirements for financial guaranty insurance including reserves, permissible risk limits, and collateral.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? [x] Yes [ ] No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? [x] Yes [ ] No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? [ ] Yes [x] No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? [x] Yes [ ] No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(e)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the Maryland Register and on the Secretary of State’s Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.
(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
   (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
   (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
   (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of
      regulation review;
   (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
   (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the Maryland Register, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of
the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For
Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to
the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit
comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
   (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
   (b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the
    federal government.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners’ (NAIC) Model Guidelines 1626 entitled
“Financial Guaranty Insurance” were reviewed. COMAR 31.05.10 is consistent with the NAIC
Model Guidelines.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or
   standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the
   Administrative Procedure Act?  
   [ ] Yes  [x] No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  
[x] Yes  [ ] N

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:
There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to financial guaranty insurance being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. There has been no recent legislation pertaining to financial guarantee insurance requiring amendments to COMAR 31.05.10.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (x), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

- no action
- amendment
- repeal
- repeal and adopt new regulations
- reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. These regulations continue to be relevant and necessary for defining the regulatory requirements for financial guaranty insurance and are consistent with national standards set forth by NAIC model guidelines 1626. No changes are recommended at this time.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.
Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs