June 9, 2015

Administrative, Executive and Legislative Review (AELR) Committee
90 State Circle
Annapolis, MD 21401

Governor’s Office
Attn: Mike Richard, Deputy Chief of Staff
State House
Annapolis, MD 21401

Division of State Documents
State House
Annapolis, MD 21401

State Publications Depository and Distribution Program
Attn: Brigid Sye-Jones
Enoch Pratt Free Library
400 Cathedral St.
Baltimore, MD 21201

Department of Legislative Services Library
90 State Circle
Annapolis, MD 21401

RE: Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act Evaluation Report-COMAR 31.02

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am filing the required number of copies of the attached Evaluation Report on behalf of the Maryland Insurance Administration as follows:

- AELR Committee—one copy
- Governor’s Office (Mike Richard)—one copy
- Division of State Documents—one copy
• State Library Resource Center via State Publications Depository and Distribution Program (Brigid Sye-Jones)-sixteen copies
• Department of Legislative Services Library-five copies

If you have any questions, or require additional information, please contact me at the above-referenced telephone number and email address.

Sincerely,

Catherine Grason
Director of Regulatory Affairs, Office of the Commissioner
A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest?  

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion?  

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal?  

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose?  

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the Maryland Register and on the Secretary of State’s Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
(a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit’s website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

| Notice was published in the Maryland Register, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received. |

(4) Provide summaries of:
(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

| N/A. |

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

| N/A. |

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

| N/A. |

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

| N/A. |

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

| N/A. |
C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  

- Yes  
- No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? 

- Yes  
- No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A. There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to contested case hearings heard by the Maryland Insurance Administration or delegated by the Administration to the Office of Administrative Hearings being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. Likewise, there is no recent legislation pertaining to standards for contested case hearings heard by the Maryland Insurance Administration or delegated by the Administration to the Office of Administrative Hearings requiring promulgation of regulations or amendments to COMAR 31.02.01.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

- no action
- X  amendment
- repeal
- repeal and adopt new regulations
- reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion.

The MIA recommends the following technical changes to this chapter:

- In COMAR 31.02.01.02B(5)(a)(iii), the term “accepted reinsurers” should be replaced with “accredited reinsurers.” COMAR 31.05.08.02 B(1) defines an “accredited reinsurer” as a reinsurer that is accepted by the Commissioner under Insurance Article, §5-906.
- In COMAR 31.02.01.02B(5)(a)(xiii), references to the Injured Workers’ Insurance Fund (IWIF) and the MIA’s enabling authority for examination in the Labor and Employment Article should be repealed and replaced with “The Chesapeake Employers’ Insurance Company,” and the MIA’s enabling authority under Insurance Article §24-304(d)(1).

Person performing review:  Catherine Grason, Esq.
Title:  Director of Regulatory Affairs
Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.02.03

Chapter Name: Hearings Arising from Cancellation, Nonrenewal, Increase in Premium, or Reduction of Coverage under a Motor Vehicle Liability Insurance Policy

Authority: Insurance Article, §§2-109, 2-210—2-215, and 27-605; State Government Article, Title 10, Subtitle 2; Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: March 23, 1998

Purpose: This chapter sets forth the terms under which the Maryland Insurance Administration may delegate or revoke authority to the Office of Administrative Hearings for hearings arising from the cancellation, nonrenewal, reduction of coverage, or increase in premium that is not consistent with the insurer’s surcharge plan under a motor vehicle liability insurance policy as provided in Insurance Article, §27-605, Annotated Code of Maryland.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☑ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the Maryland Register and on the Secretary of State’s Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
   (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
   (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
   (c) any notice posted on the unit’s website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
   (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
   (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the Maryland Register, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
   (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
   (b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.
Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A. There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to financial penalties being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. Likewise, there is no recent legislation pertaining to financial penalties requiring promulgation of regulations or amendments to COMAR 31.02.04.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

x no action
amendment
repeal
repeal and adopt new regulations
reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion. The regulations are not obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal, and are effective in accomplishing their intended purpose

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.
Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.02.04

Chapter Name: Penalties

Authority: Insurance Article, §§2-108, 2-109, and 2-201, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: August 24, 1987

Purpose: This chapter sets forth the factors that the Maryland Insurance Commissioner must consider when determining the amount of a financial penalty in any instance.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? □ Yes □ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? □ Yes □ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? □ Yes □ No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? □ Yes □ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the Maryland Register and on the Secretary of State’s Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.
(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
(a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit’s website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the Maryland Register, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  

☐ Yes  ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  

☒ Yes  ☐ No
Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A. There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to financial penalties being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. Likewise, there is no recent legislation pertaining to financial penalties requiring promulgation of regulations or amendments to COMAR 31.02.04.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

- [x] no action
- amendment
- repeal
- repeal and adopt new regulations
- reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be necessary for the public interest and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion. The regulations are not obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal, and are effective in accomplishing their intended purpose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person performing review:</th>
<th>Catherine Grason, Esq.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>Director of Regulatory Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.02.05

Chapter Name: Public Information Act Requests

Authority: Insurance Article §§2-109 and 2-112; General Provisions Article, §§4-101-4-601, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: February 12, 2007

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to establish procedures for filing requests with the Maryland Insurance Administration for the inspection and copying of records under the General Provisions Article, §4-101 through 4-601, Annotated Code of Maryland.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☑ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers and consumers were alerted to the review via publication in the Maryland Register. Notice included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the Maryland Register. No comments were received.
(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
   (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
   (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
   (c) any notice posted on the unit’s website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of
      regulation review;
   (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
   (e) any public hearing held.

   Notice was published in the *Maryland Register* and on the statewide Regulatory Review website. The notice
   included a link to instruct how to submit comments. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
   (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
   (b) the adopting authority’s responses to those comments.

   N/A.

(5) Describe any inter-unit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

   N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

   N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the
    federal government.

   Other States’ guidelines on public records requests are similar, per National Association of
   Insurance Commissioners. (*NAIC’s Compendium of State Laws on Insurance Topics: Public Access
   to Public Records*, III-RA-40, 2010).

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

   HB270 (Chapter 94 of 2014) recodified the Maryland Public Information Act into Title 4 of a new
   article entitled “General Provisions.” Exemptions and other provisions of the law were reorganized
   at the same time. In addition, HB755 (Chapter 136 of 2015) made major revisions to the Act. As a
   result, technical references and several substantive provisions must be amended in this chapter to
   comply with the revised statutory text.
C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  

☐ Yes  ☑ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  

☐ Yes  ☑ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

See B(8) above.

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

- [ ] no action
- [X] amendment
- [ ] repeal
- [ ] repeal and adopt new regulations
- [ ] reorganization

Summary:

The MTA will propose technical and substantive amendments to this chapter to comply with recent legislation, as discussed in B(8) above.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.

Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs
Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2012 – 2020

Chapter Codification: COMAR 31.02.06

Chapter Name: Procedures for Quasi-Legislative Hearings

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-713; Insurance Article, §§2-109, 2-203, 4-309, 11-502, 12-203, 12-204, 12-205, 13-110, 13-111, and 4-126; State Government Article, §§6.5-103 and 6.5-203; Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: October 15, 2001

Purpose: This chapter establishes parameters for quasi-legislative hearings that the Insurance Commissioner conducts to gather information from concerned parties before making a decision or taking an action.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.203.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☑ Yes ☐ No

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☑ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i)–(viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Insurers, producers, and consumers were alerted to the review via notices posted on the following pages of the Maryland Insurance Administration’s (MIA) website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Notices included an invitation to comment, along with a contact name and information. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.
(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All State agencies were notified through publication in the Maryland Register and on the Secretary of State's Division of State Documents (DSD) website. No comments were received.

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
(a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
(b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
(c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulation review;
(d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
(e) any public hearing held.

Notice was published in the Maryland Register, on the DSD website, and on the following pages of the MIA website: Proposed Regulations, News Center, For Insurers, For Producers, and For Consumers. In addition, subscribers to these web pages received an email message alerting them to the regulatory review notice. Every notice included an email link for people wishing to submit comments. Comments were collected for sixty (60) days. No comments were received.

(4) Provide summaries of:
(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A.

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A.
C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  

[ ] Yes  [x] No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  

[ ] Yes  [x] No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A. There are no existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards pertaining to quasi-legislative hearings being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. Likewise, there is no recent legislation pertaining to quasi-legislative hearings requiring promulgation of regulations or amendments to COMAR 31.02.06.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

[ ] no action

[ ] amendment

[ ] repeal

[ ] repeal and adopt new regulations

[ ] reorganization

Summary:

This chapter continues to be relevant and necessary for the public interest, and continues to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinions. This chapter specifically sets forth the procedural requirements by which the Insurance Commissioner shall conduct a quasi-legislative hearing, and is critical to the Commissioner’s authority to gather input and information from concerned parties prior to taking action in or for, among other things, rulemaking and the promulgation of regulations. No changes are recommended at this time.

Person performing review: Catherine Grason, Esq.

Title: Director of Regulatory Affairs