Product Name	Form Number	Issue Date Range
Leading Edge	LTC-06 MD	Feb 2007 - Jan 2011

These policy form rates were originally priced with a margin for moderately adverse experience in accordance with the NAIC model rate stability regulations which were being implemented on a State by State basis during the time of our initial rate filing.

1. Scope & Purpose

This memorandum consists of materials which support the development of new premium rates for the above captioned policy series forms. The purpose of this memorandum is to demonstrate that the requirements of this State in regards to an in force rate increase request have been met. This rate filing is not intended to be used for any other purpose.

2. Requested Rate Increase

The Company is requesting an average rate increase of 42.9%, which varies by inflation option, and ranges from 39.3% to 79.4%. These rate increases reflect the unapproved amounts from our prior filing, and were determined as the amount of rate increase that would be needed to satisfy the rate stability rule ensuring a loss ratio no less than 85% (or the original pricing loss ratio if greater) on the rate increase portion, while applying 58% (or the original pricing loss ratio if greater) on the original rate schedule, using 2021 nationwide data.

Detail on assumptions and recent analysis is provided in Addendum #1 - Assumptions and Analysis Performed.

In accordance with COMAR 31.14.01.04(A)(5), we are willing to phase-in the requested rate increase on an equivalent basis such that the maximum annual rate increase does not exceed 15%.

The rate stability rule is demonstrated at the bottom of **Exhibit 1**.

A summary of proposed rate increases can be found in Appendix A.

In the rate schedules by policy form, **Appendix B1** contains the new proposed rate tables for all policy forms included with this filing.

Please note that the actual rates implemented may vary slightly from those in the Appendices due to implementation rounding algorithms.

Exhibit 1 contains nationwide past premium and claims experience as well as future premium and claim projections and hedge gains. Waived premiums are not included. It illustrates that the anticipated lifetime loss ratio, net of hedge gains and with the requested rate increase is 69.3%, compared to the original pricing loss ratio (updated for actual CPI) of 65.6%. The lifetime loss ratio as of 12/31/2021 is calculated as the sum of accumulated past and discounted future claims less hedge gains divided by the sum of accumulated past and discounted future earned premium where accumulation and discounting occur at the maximum statutory valuation discount rate. The maximum statutory valuation discount rate is 4.0% for policies issued before 2013 and 3.5% for policies issued in 2013 and after.

In addition, **Exhibit 1** contains the original expected loss ratio projections with the lifetime loss ratio calculated as stated above, adjusted for the following.

- For contracts with the CPI linked inflation rider, both past and future benefits were updated to reflect the impact of actual past CPI rates differing from the original pricing assumption. In this way, the current projected benefits and the benefits projected in original pricing are based on the same level of CPI indices. This adjustment is needed to neutralize the impact on the rate increase for differences in actual past CPI from original pricing assumptions; i.e. the need for a rate increase and the level of a rate increase is not dependent on changes in the CPI levels. Adjustments will be made in both directions (i.e. when actual CPI is higher or lower than original pricing).
- Updated to reflect the actual mix of business sold.

Furthermore, **Exhibit 1** demonstrates that the calculated loss ratio respects the applicable pre or post stability form requirements:

Post-stability form requirements:

The sum of the accumulated value of incurred claims without the inclusion of active life reserves, and the present value of future projected incurred claims, without the inclusion of active life reserves, will not be less than the sum of the following:

- 1. Accumulated value of the initial earned premium times the greater of the original assumed lifetime loss ratio and 58%,
- 2. Accumulated value of prior premium rate schedule increases times the greater of the original assumed lifetime loss ratio and 85%,
- 3. Present value of future projected initial earned premium times the greater of the original assumed lifetime loss ratio and 58%, and
- 4. Present value of future projected premium in excess of the projected initial earned premium times the greater of the original assumed lifetime loss ratio and 85%.

Pre-stability form requirements:

The sum of the accumulated value of incurred claims without the inclusion of active life reserves, and the present value of future projected incurred claims, without the inclusion of active life reserves, will not be less than the sum of the following:

- 1. Accumulated value of the initial earned premium times the greater of the original assumed lifetime loss ratio and 60%,
- 2. Accumulated value of prior premium rate schedule increases times the greater of the original assumed lifetime loss ratio and 80%,
- 3. Present value of future projected initial earned premium times the greater of the original assumed lifetime loss ratio and 60%, and
- 4. Present value of future projected premium in excess of the projected initial earned premium times the greater of the original assumed lifetime loss ratio and 80%.

3. Nationwide Loss Ratio Exhibit (Exhibit 1)

Leading	Edae	(LTC-06)
Loading		(=: 0 00)

		Origin	al Assumptions			His	torical & Pr	ojected Experience		
					Before Pi	roposed Increase		With Pr	oposed Rate Increa	se
	Calendar	Incurred	Farned	Incurred	Incurred	Farned	Incurred	Incurred	Farned	Incurred
	Year	Claims	Premium	Ratio	Claims	Premium	Ratio	Claims	Premium	Ratio
	2002	-	-	0%	-	1,430	0%	-	1,430	0%
	2003	-	-	0%	-	8,411	0%	-	8,411	0%
	2004	-	-	0%	-	17,584	0%	-	17,584	0%
	2005	-	-	0%	-	38,337	0%	-	38,337	0%
Historical	2006	686	527,796	0%	-	670,062	0%	-	670,062	0%
Experience	2007	100,935	10,877,546	1%	-	11,659,012	0%	-	11,659,012	0%
	2008	408,119	31,028,001	2%	536,219	32,082,270	2%	530,219 114 390	32,082,270	2%
	2009	2 030 409	62 972 058	3%	1 905 388	62 485 468	3%	1 905 388	62 485 468	3%
	2011	3.077.518	65.216.519	5%	2,214,016	63,963,943	3%	2,214,016	63,963,943	3%
	2012	4,181,853	64,059,559	7%	2,311,292	62,636,974	4%	2,311,292	62,636,974	4%
	2013	5,385,960	62,991,707	9%	2,581,678	61,913,112	4%	2,581,678	61,913,112	4%
	2014	6,680,316	61,931,843	11%	6,093,226	61,473,670	10%	6,093,226	61,473,670	10%
	2015	8,063,084	60,803,403	13%	6,557,403	60,837,979	11%	6,557,403	60,837,979	11%
	2016	9,516,533	59,601,957	16%	7,612,554	60,435,731	13%	7,612,554	60,435,731	13%
	2017	11,095,653	58,339,854	19%	8,955,539	60,146,630	15%	8,955,539	60,146,630	15%
	2018	12,913,343	57,015,767	23%	11,029,727	65,226,316	17%	11,029,727	65,226,316	17%
	2019	16 669 228	54 177 312	21%	16 374 091	64 982 380	22%	16 374 091	64 982 380	25%
	2020	18,719,545	52.664.600	36%	21,975,724	63.858.966	34%	21.975.724	63.858.966	34%
	2022	21,032,613	51,084,301	41%	25,665,030	63,256,232	41%	25,665,030	63,256,232	41%
	2023	23,924,132	49,431,074	48%	30,253,430	61,665,420	49%	30,253,430	61,665,420	49%
	2024	27,445,001	47,690,528	58%	35,079,081	62,574,962	56%	34,535,356	60,829,120	57%
	2025	31,379,506	45,856,294	68%	40,443,453	66,750,197	61%	39,189,706	70,380,792	56%
	2026	35,588,522	43,931,059	81%	45,858,210	65,067,741	70%	44,436,606	88,430,089	50%
	2027	39,713,787	41,920,109	95%	51,810,305	62,892,300	82%	50,204,186	86,889,542	58%
	2020	43,550,107	37,667,534	109%	66 561 920	58 160 788	9770	57,120,556 64 498 501	80 266 586	80%
	2023	51,904,334	35,436,464	146%	74.546.636	55,575,557	134%	72,235,690	76.657.487	94%
	2031	56.417.301	33,148,022	170%	82,713,957	52.840.483	157%	80,149,824	72.845.655	110%
Projected	2032	60,169,563	30,823,051	195%	90,893,266	49,969,779	182%	88,075,575	68,851,258	128%
Future	2033	62,344,527	28,491,845	219%	98,799,751	46,980,755	210%	95,736,958	64,698,499	148%
Experience	2034	64,236,482	26,188,264	245%	106,182,633	43,900,420	242%	102,890,971	60,425,034	170%
	2035	66,219,258	23,940,053	277%	112,875,857	40,759,990	277%	109,376,705	56,074,233	195%
	2036	68,612,392	21,764,778	315%	118,590,546	37,591,810	315%	114,914,239	51,690,696	222%
	2037	70,001,004	19,077,027	300%	123,034,905	34,420,883	357%	101 957 576	47,317,073	252%
	2038	67,380,456	15 808 861	426%	126,998,200	28 235 479	402 %	123,061,256	38 775 503	317%
	2040	65,747,445	14,050,671	468%	126,778,262	25,294,906	501%	122,848,136	34,724,514	354%
	2041	64,799,665	12,415,777	522%	125,253,890	22,509,974	556%	121,371,019	30,891,045	393%
	2042	63,209,505	10,908,143	579%	122,401,291	19,906,895	615%	118,606,851	27,310,656	434%
	2043	59,660,758	9,527,045	626%	118,401,794	17,495,794	677%	114,731,338	23,996,598	478%
	2044	55,674,184	8,273,385	673%	113,452,995	15,282,723	742%	109,935,952	20,956,530	525%
	2045	51,850,633	7,144,501	726%	107,681,005	13,268,571	812%	104,342,894	18,191,140	574%
	2040	46,610,579	5 235 051	873%	04 503 661	0 823 060	063%	90,221,149	13,090,092	681%
	2048	41,577,783	4,442,004	936%	87,576,892	8,378,870	1045%	84,862,008	11,482,893	739%
	2049	37,480,728	3,749,051	1000%	80,402,643	7,104,959	1132%	77,910,161	9,736,321	800%
	2050	33,595,926	3,148,833	1067%	73,234,782	5,990,869	1222%	70,964,504	8,209,234	864%
	2051	30,306,464	2,632,360	1151%	66,162,618	5,024,372	1317%	64,111,577	6,884,700	931%
	2052	27,370,271	2,191,744	1249%	59,424,343	4,192,624	1417%	57,582,188	5,744,982	1002%
	2053	24,300,201	1,817,934	1337%	52,969,170	3,481,829	1521%	51,327,126	4,771,085	1076%
Note: Please refer to the	2054 Actuarial Memorandi	21,497,191 um_section "Ensuring No Cross	1,502,547	1431% States" for adjust	46,940,940 stments made to experience e	2,879,012	1630%	45,485,771	3,945,181	1153%
Values as of 12/31	/2021 (discount	ted at maximum statuto	ry valuation rates	s)						
	Past :	136,557,281	1,074,614,552	12.7%	119,725,748	1,118,852,920	10.7%	119,725,748	1,118,852,920	10.7%
	Future :	901,134,111	506,548,772	177.9%	1,529,271,029	765,107,435	199.9%	1,484,021,011	965,609,517	153.7%
l ifatima N	Lifetime :	1,037,691,392	1,581,163,324	65.6%	1,648,996,777	1,883,960,355	87.5%	1,603,746,759	2,084,462,437	76.9%
Litetime N	ims exceed Tot	ו,עטר,טטו,טטע al Initial Premiums א m	1,001,103,324	ס.ס% Pricina I הפכ	Ratio) + Increased Pr	1,003,900,355 emiums x max/85	13.1% %. Oriaina	1,440,020,312	2,004,402,43 <i>1</i>	03.3%
Impact of Improved	Hedge Terms		(158,220,447)		Accum Value of Past	Initial Prm x 65.6%	=	715,059,427		
Minimum (Accum Va	alue of Past Incu	rred Claims,			Present Value of Futu	re Initial Prm x 65.6	5% =	390,285,155		
Accum Value of Ad	justed Expected	Incurred Claims) =	119,725,748		Accum Value of Prior	Increases x 85.0%	=	24,900,040		
Total =	iture incurred Cla	aims =	1,484,021,011	>=	Total =	re increases x 85.0	J% =	315,281,688		
i ulai –			1,440,020,012	~-	i Jiai –			1,440,020,011		

 Total =
 1,445,526,312
 >=
 Total =
 1,445,526,311

 Total Incurred Claims exceed Total Initial Premiums x max(60%, Original Pricing Loss Ratio) + Increased Premiums x max(80%, Original Pricing Loss Ratio)
 + Increased Premiums x max(80%, Original Pricing Loss Ratio)

Impact of Improved Hedge Terms Minimum (Accum Value of Past Incurred Claims,	(158,220,447)		Accum Value of Past Initial Prm x 65.6% = Present Value of Future Initial Prm x 65.6% =	715,059,427 390,285,155	
Accum Value of Adjusted Expected Incurred Claims) =	119,725,748		Accum Value of Prior Increases x 80.0% =	23,435,332	
Present Value of Future Incurred Claims =	1,484,021,011		Present Value of Future Increases x 80.0% =	296,735,707	
Total =	1,445,526,312	>=	Total =	1,425,515,621	

4. Proposed Effective Date

These rates will be effective on the next policy anniversary date, following at least a 90 day policyholder notification period. The assumed effective date used to calculate the rate increase is 10/01/2025.

5. History of Previous Rate Revisions

An average rate increase of 12.5% on these policy forms was accepted by your state on March 18, 2019.

An average rate increase of 15.0% on these policy forms was accepted by your state on March 27, 2024.

6. Reduced Benefit Options (RBOs)

If the full or substantial portion of the rate increase request is approved and per the state's agreement, we will file the following additional options which provide policyholders alternatives to the rate increase:

• Shared Cost Option

Shared Cost option is an actuarially equivalent option that allows policyholders without CPI-linked inflation the option to offset the rate increase. The Shared Cost option would:

- Reduce the policyholder's current policy benefit amounts by their Shared Cost percentage. The daily/monthly benefit and the policy limit will be reduced by the Shared Cost percentage
- Apply a percentage factor to any future claim payments equal to the Shared Cost percentage. John Hancock will pay our portion (1 minus the Shared Cost percent) of any covered services, but will not pay more than the new reduced daily/monthly benefit amount and the policyholder will be responsible for the remainder.

Shared Cost Percentages are calculated using seriatim, nationwide data for each benefit period, inflation type and issue age band combination. All Shared Cost percentages are determined to be actuarially equivalent to the requested rate increases by combination of 5-year issue age band, benefit period and inflation type. The Shared Cost option is not available to limited pay policies or policyholders who have elected two prior Shared Cost options.

• Voluntary Enhanced Paid-Up Policy Option

For those who choose to stop paying premiums. This option will be a paid-up policy with a policy limit equal to the lesser of the current policy limit and 150% of premiums paid less any benefits received.

The premium rate tables reflecting these options will be filed upon request following a full or substantial approval.

7. State Policyholder Counts & Average Annual Premium

The table below summarizes the number of policies inforce that could be affected by the rate increase in your state and their annualized premiums, as well as the average annual premium per policy before and after the requested increase.

Counts and premiums are based on policies inforce as of 12/31/2021. Premium-paying policies as well as policies on claim are included, since although the premium for policies on claim is currently waived, they could

Maryland

be subject to the rate increase upon recovery. Paid-up policies and policies which have exercised the nonforfeiture benefit option are excluded.

Form	Number of Policies	2021 Annualized Premium*	2021 Average Annual Premium Before the rate increase*	2021 Average Annual Premium After the rate increase
LTC-06 MD	1,098	3,082,201	2,807	4,012

*Premiums reflect the rate increases approved in prior filing(s), including approvals where implementation is not yet complete

The table below shows the Nationwide number of policies for the forms in this filing:

Form	Nationwide Policies
LTC-06 MD	29,337

8. State and Nationwide Distribution of Business as of December 31, 2021

The state-specific and nationwide distribution of business for policyholders impacted by the rate increase is shown below by inflation type, benefit period, and issue age. The breakdown of business by Premium Payment Option is also included to show the number of policyholders no longer paying premiums due to nonforfeiture election or paid-up status.

Inflation Type

State	GPO	Compound	СРІ	Total
NW	12%	0%	88%	100%
MD	11%	0%	89%	100%
NW	3,459	64	25,814	29,337
MD	120	0	978	1,098

Benefit Period

State	3 yr	5 yr	Lifetime	Total
NW	41%	48%	11%	100%
MD	43%	49%	8%	100%
NW	12,013	14,107	3,217	29,337
MD	470	542	86	1,098

Issue Age

State	< 40	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	Total
NW	3%	4%	9%	18%	29%	25%	10%	2%	0%	100%
MD	2%	4%	11%	20%	31%	21%	8%	2%	0%	100%
NW	875	1,093	2,549	5,407	8,554	7,231	2,857	671	100	29,337
MD	22	49	123	222	344	230	87	21	0	1,098

Premium Payment

Option

State	Lifetime Pay	On Claim	Limited Pay	NFO*	Limited Pay, Paid Up*	Total
NW	93%	1%	1%	1%	4%	100%
MD	93%	1%	2%	0%	4%	100%
NW	28,731	319	287	350	1,083	30,770
MD	1,066	9	23	3	51	1,152

*Policies not included in distributions by count shown above as they are not impacted by the rate increase.

9. Benefit Description(s)

A brief policy description for the policy form:

<u>LTC-06 MD</u>

Individually underwritten long-term care policies that provide comprehensive long-term care coverage for care received in a nursing home or assisted care living facility, home health care, hospice care, respite care, or attendance at an Adult Day Care Center providing Adult Day Care.

Provides reimbursement of covered long-term care expenses incurred after an elected elimination period is met, up to the maximum daily/monthly amount. The benefit eligibility is determined based on the insured's cognitive impairment or their requiring physical assistance to perform two out of six activities of daily living (ADLs) of bathing, dressing, eating, toileting, transferring and maintaining continence.

Premiums are waived after the insured has met the elimination period and is receiving benefits and will continue to be waived until the insured stops receiving such benefits.

10. Renewability

All policy forms are guaranteed renewable.

11. Applicability

This filing is applicable to in force policies only, as these policy forms are no longer being sold in the market. The premium changes will apply to the base forms as well as all applicable riders.

12. Trend Assumptions

As this is not medical insurance, we have not included any explicit medical cost trends in the projections.

13. Marketing Method

This product was typically marketed through our traditional agency system and brokers involving a personal contact with each applicant.

14. Underwriting

These policy forms were underwritten using a medical and risk questionnaire. We also utilized Attending Physician Statement and personal interviews depending on the age of the applicant and medical conditions.

15. Premium Classes

The base policy premium rates vary by issue age, benefit period, inflation option, home health care maximum benefit percentage, and underwriting class, as in the initial rate filing.

All premium factors related to the insured elected benefit design options or any eligible discount remain unchanged from the initial rate filing.

Maryland

16. Premium Modalization Rules

Frequency	Multiple of Annual Premium
Semiannual	.52
Quarterly	.2625
Monthly	.0875

17. Issue Age Range

The issue age range is 18-79 for all policy forms.

18. Area Factors

Area factors are not applicable to any of the policy forms or riders.

19. Reserves

Active Life Reserves have not been used in this rate increase demonstration. Minimum Statutory Claim reserves as of 12/31/2021 have been discounted to the date of incurral of each respective claim and included in the historical incurred claims. Incurred But Not Reported claim reserves as of 12/31/2021 have also been allocated to the calendar year of incurral and included in historic incurred claims.

20. Data Credibility

Regarding the credibility of data for younger blocks of business such as Leading Edge, the Company would like to draw attention to the American Academy of Actuaries Issue Brief "*Understanding Premium Rate Increases on Private LTCI Policyholders 060216.pdf*", which has been included with this filing. The brief provides guidance on determining the need for premium rate increases on pages 4 and 5. This guidance includes a discussion on determining assumptions used for projections, particularly in situations where experience credibility may be low. Because of the long duration nature of Long Term Care policies, claims are often not seen in early durations which leads to lower credibility in actual experience for younger groups of policies. In situations where this is the case, the Actuarial Standards of Practice require that industry data or company data for older, similar business be used to set assumptions. Specifically, the brief states the following:

"Section 3.2.1 of Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 18, Long-Term Care Insurance, requires actuaries to use alternative data sources such as public data or experience from the insurance company's older, similar policy forms for identifying reasonable assumptions. Waiting until sthere is adequate claim information on each policy form could result in much larger, less affordable rate increases."

Since Leading Edge is a younger block of business, our proposed rate increases on this form are based on our experience from this form as well as similar forms where we have over 20 years of experience. Overall, our unfavorable morbidity experience is at later durations and older attained ages, where we have significant data on our older plans and less on younger ones. With our combined data we are able to make credible decisions regarding future assumptions, in accordance with ASOP 18. Focusing solely on past experience for this product discredits our future projections and prevents us from acting on this information in a timely manner. Delaying rate increases until we have amassed similar experience on this particular policy form would take a considerable

amount of time and would result in much higher rate increases for our customers which would be more difficult to manage and would require larger reductions in benefits in order to mitigate them.

21. Ensuring No Cross-Subsidization Between States

We have ensured no state's rate increase approvals will subsidize other states' rate increases. Rate increases will vary by state, but only to reflect the timing and amount of prior rate increases approved by that state. This is accomplished by first backing-out all prior approved rate increases from our nationwide premium data. We then re-introduce actual prior rate increases with the amount and timing based on your state's prior approvals (as detailed in the section entitled **History of Previous Rate Revisions**). The current proposed rate increases are then determined based on the amounts needed in order to achieve our target lifetime loss ratios certified to in our prior filing.

Although some states may have capped our previous inforce rate increase filings, in each case this was done with the understanding that the full amount of the proposed rate increases were justified and that John Hancock would be refiling for the remainder at a later date. In instances where the remainder remains unapproved, it has been included in the current filings.

22. Past Losses Testing

Preventing companies from recouping past losses was the subject of a discussion by the NAIC in late 2013. The accepted methodology which was incorporated into the 2014 Long Term Care Model Regulation defines past losses as actual past claims less expected past claims when determining loss ratio compliance. Expected past claims are defined as the following:

"Expected claims shall be calculated based on the original filing assumptions assumed until new assumptions are filed as part of a rate increase. New assumptions shall be used for all periods beyond each requested effective date of a rate increase [regardless of whether or not the rate increase is approved]. Expected claims are calculated for each calendar year based on the in-force at the beginning of the calendar year. Expected claims shall include margins for moderately adverse experience; either amounts included in the claims that were used to determine the lifetime loss ratio consistent with the original filing or as modified in any rate increase filing."

We apply this methodology in demonstrating that we are not recouping past losses.

The 'Adjusted Expected Incurred Claims' are initially calculated by applying the original pricing durational loss ratio to the actual earned premium in a given calendar year. Later, in years in which and after which we filed for inforce rate increases, expected incurred claims are based on the new assumptions that were filed.

The accumulated value of the Adjusted Expected Incurred Claims is compared to the accumulated value of Actual Incurred Claims. The lesser of the Adjusted Expected Incurred Claims or Actual Incurred Claims is used for past claims when ensuring that the resulting overall increase in rates satisfies the rate stability rule ensuring a loss ratio no less than 85% (or the original pricing loss ratio if greater) on the rate increase portion, while applying 58% (or the original pricing loss ratio if greater) on the original rate schedule. This is demonstrated at the bottom of **Exhibit 1**. The derivation of Adjusted Expected Incurred Claims and comparison to Actual Incurred Claims can be seen in **Addendum #2 – Demonstration of not Recouping Past Losses**.

23. Actuarial Certification

I am a Fellow of the Society of Actuaries and a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries, and I meet the Academy's qualification standards for rendering this opinion and am familiar with the requirements for filing long-term care insurance premiums and filing for increases in long-term care insurance premiums. This memorandum has been prepared in conformity with all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice, including ASOP No. 8.

The preceding Actuarial Memorandum contains:

- a) the assumptions on which this certification is based;
- b) the adjustments to prior assumptions with an explanation of the reasons previous assumptions were not realized;
- c) a lifetime projection of the prior premium rate schedules and incurred claims plus future expected premiums and claims which demonstrates that the revised premium rate schedule meets the loss ratios standards and necessary details of this state; and
- d) disclosure of the manner, if any, in which reserves have been recognized.

If the requested premium rate schedule increase is implemented and the underlying assumptions which reflect moderately adverse conditions are realized, no further premium rate schedule increases are anticipated.

I have reviewed and taken into consideration the policy design and coverage provided, and our current underwriting and claims adjudication processes.

In forming my opinion, I have used actuarial assumptions and actuarial methods and such tests of the actuarial calculations as I considered necessary. Based on these assumptions or statutory requirements where necessary, the premium rate filing is in compliance with the loss ratio standards of this state.

The basis for contract reserves has been previously filed and there is no anticipation of any changes.

Kathernia Gillin

Katherine A Gillis, FSA, MAAA Actuary, Long Term Care Inforce Management John Hancock Life Insurance Company

Addendum #1 - Assumptions and Analysis Performed

As part of the inforce management of the business, the Company monitored the performance of the business by completing periodic analysis for morbidity, voluntary lapse rates, and mortality. The findings from these analyses were used in projecting the inforce business to determine the effect of experience on the projected lifetime loss ratio. The most current studies show unfavorable trends since the study that prompted our 2019 rate increase filings.

Relative to previous expectations, the new data demonstrates lower mortality for non-claimants, higher utilization of benefits, and lower than expected claim terminations, partly offset by lower claim incidence.

[REDACTED]

A. Current & Prior Assumptions

Morbidity

[REDACTED]

Incidence

[REDACTED]

After updating assumptions to reflect the generally favorable experience, the overall A/E ratio is 101%.

Incidence (Count)					
Duration	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update			
10-11	93%	99%			
12-14	95%	101%			
15+	94%	102%			
Total	94%	101%			

Claim Terminations

[REDACTED]

After updating assumptions to reflect termination experience, the A/E is 99% for the first 5 months on claim and 97% overall.

Terminations (Count)							
	All Months		Months 1-5		Months 6+		
Benefit Period	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update	
<10 years	97%	98%	95%	99%	98%	97%	
10+ years	91%	96%	91%	102%	92%	93%	
Total	96%	97%	94%	99%	97%	96%	

Utilization

[REDACTED]

After updating our assumption based on experience, the total A/E is 102%.

Utilization (Amount)						
Inflation Type	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update				
None/GPO	101%	101%				
Simple	102%	101%				
Compound	112%	104%				
Total	106%	102%				

Voluntary Lapses

[REDACTED]

Actual to Expected ratios by amount for this block (John Hancock individual business) summarized by inflation and duration groups before and after the assumption update are shown below.

Lapse (Amount)						
	No Inflation		With I	nflation	Total	
Duration	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update
1-5	120%	72%	91%	100%	91%	99%
6-10	104%	87%	105%	107%	105%	104%
11-15	88%	95%	96%	100%	95%	99%
16-20	125%	93%	128%	103%	128%	101%
21-25	126%	119%	127%	123%	127%	121%
26+	109%	100%	88%	92%	104%	98%
Total	107%	96%	105%	104%	105%	102%

The changes to the expected lapse assumption improved the fit across cohorts and resulted in a total A/E of 102%.

Mortality

[REDACTED]

The below table shows the healthy life A/E ratios by amount before and after the assumption update for our Retail Individual business.

Mortality (Amount)						
Duration	A/E Before Assumption Update	A/E After Assumption Update				
1-5	100%	105%				
6-10	99%	99%				
11-15	96%	99%				
16-20	94%	102%				
21-25	97%	101%				
26+	76%	84%				
Total	95%	100%				

Expenses

[REDACTED]

CPI Inflation Rate

[REDACTED]

Original Pricing Assumptions

[REDACTED]

		Original		Adjusted		
		Incurred	Historic Data	Expected		Historic Data
	Calendar	Loss	Earned	Incurred		Incurred
	Year	Ratio	Premium	Claims		Claims
	1988	0%	-	-		-
	1989	0%	-	-		-
	1990	0%	-	-		-
	1991	0%	-	-		-
	1992	0%	-	-		-
	1993	0%	-	-		-
	1994	0%	-	-		-
	1995	0%	-	-		-
	1996	0%	-	-		-
	1997	0%	-	-		-
	1998	0%	-	-		-
	1999	0%	-	-		-
	2000	0%	-	-		-
	2001	0%	-	-		-
Original Pricing	2002	0%	1,430	-		-
	2003	0%	8,411	-		-
	2004	0%	17,132	-		-
	2005	0%	36,956	-		-
	2006	0%	616,488	802		-
	2007	1%	11,060,812	102,669		-
	2008	2%	31,189,533	470,697		536,219
	2009	2%	48,922,780	1,104,902		114,380
	2010	3%	62,229,456	2,007,220		1,905,388
	2011	5%	66,387,282	3,134,127		2,214,016
	2012	7%	67,822,702	4,430,094		2,311,292
	2013	9%	67,104,518	5,743,100		2,581,678
	2014	11%	66,643,059	7,200,509		6,093,226
	2015	13%	65,969,435	8,773,393		6,557,403
	2016			7,084,765		7,612,554
2016 Assumptions	2017			8,771,629		8,955,539
	2018			10,665,732		11,029,727
	2019			15,842,683		14,631,660
2019 Assumptions	2020			18,446,779		16,374,091
	2021			21,653,401		21,975,724
Values as of 12/31/202	21 (discounte	ed at maxim	num statutory val	uation rates)		
Past :				136,710,090	>	119,725,748

Addendum #2 – Demonstration of not Recouping Past Losses Leading Edge (LTC-06)

Minimum (Accum Value of Past Incurred Claims,

Accum Value of Adjusted Expected Incurred Claims) =

119,725,748

The lesser of actual and expected past claims, \$119,725,748, is used in demonstrating compliance with the minimum loss ratio in Exhibit 1

Appendix A Leading Edge (LTC-06 MD) Rate Increase Percentages (applied across all elimination periods, and across all Assisted Living Facilities, Home and Community Care percentages)

	5% Compound Guaranteed Purchase			CPI Inflation			
Age		Benefit Period		Benefit Period			
	3 Years	5 Years	5 Years + \$1M Rider	3 Years	5 Years	5 Years + \$1M Rider	
18-29	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
30	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
32	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
33	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
34	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
35	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
36	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
37	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
38	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
39	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
40	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
41	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
42	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
44	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
45	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
46	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
47	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
48	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
49	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
50	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
51	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
52	/9.4%	/9.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
53	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
54	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	20.2%	20.2%	39.3% 20.2%	
56	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
57	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
58	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
59	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
60	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
61	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
62	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
63	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
64	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
65	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
66	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
6/	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
60	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
70	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
70	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
72	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
73	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
74	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
75	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
76	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
77	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
78	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
/9	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
80 81	79.4%	79.4% 79.4%	79.4% 79.4%	39.3% 39.3%	39.3% 39.3%	39.3% 39.3%	
82	79.4%	79,4%	79.4%	39,3%	39.3%	39.3%	
83	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
84	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
85	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
86	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
87	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
88	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
89	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
90	79.4%	79.4%	/9.4%	39.3%	39.3%	39.3%	
91	79.4%	79.4%	79.4% 70.4%				
92	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%				
94	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%				
95	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%				
96	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%				
97	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%				
98	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%				
99	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%				
100+	79.4%	79.4%	79.4%				